

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,867	10/660,867 09/12/2003		Bema Erol	15358-009430	8661
20350	7590	05/15/2006		EXAMINER	
		TOWNSEND AN	KINDRED, ALFORD W		
EIGHTH FI		RO CLIVILA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRAN	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2163	

DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/660,867	.EROL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alford W. Kindred	2163				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S	September 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-64 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers		· ·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/1/04</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Application, filed on 9/12/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nelson et al., US 6,732,915 B1.

As per claim 1, Nelson et al. teaches "receiving, prior to occurrence of the presentation, information identifying a set of items to be printed in the paper document for the presentation" (see col. 4, lines 43-63) "accessing recorded information comprising information recorded during the presentation; and processing the recorded information to determine time information for one or more items that were presented during the presentation from the set of items" (see col. 23-49) "the time information for each item in the one or more items indicative of when information related to the item was presented during the presentation" (see col. 13, lines 3-35).

As per claim 2, Nelson et al. teaches "receiving information indicating selection of an item printed in the paper document for the presentation; determining time information for the selected printed item indicative of when the selected printed item was presented

during the presentation" (see col. 12, lines 6-53) "retrieving information for the selected printed item from the recorded information based upon the time information determined for the selected printed item" (see col. 5, lines 19-43).

As per clam 3, Nelson et al. teaches "obtaining an image of a page of the paper document printed for the presentation on which the selected item is printed; and determining the selection of the item from the image of the page" (see col. 6, lines 4-26).

As per claim 4, Nelson et al. teaches "receiving information indicating selection of a barcode printed on a page of the paper document printed for the presentation, the barcode identifying the selected printed item" (see col. 14, lines 5-32).

As per claims 5-6, Nelson et al. teaches "receiving a signal identifying a first type of information to be retrieved for the selected printed item; and wherein retrieving the information comprises retrieving information of the first type for the selected printed item from the recorded information based upon the time information determined for the selected printed item" (see col. 25, lines 42-67).

As per claim 7, Nelson et al. teaches "outputting the information retrieved for the selected printed item via an output device" (see col. 24, lines 40-65).

As per claim 8, Nelson et al. teaches "saving the information retrieved for the selected printed item" (see col. 12, lines 27-45).

As per claims 9-10, Nelson et al. teaches "communicating the information retrieved for the selected printed item" (see col. 17, lines 54-67).

As per claim 11, Nelson et al. teaches "receiving one or more images extracted

Application/Control Number: 10/660,867

Art Unit: 2163

from the recorded information; comparing the one or more images extracted from the recorded information with the set of items printed in the paper document for the presentation; and determining the time information for each item in the one or more items based upon the comparison" (see col. 4, lines 23-50).

As per claim 12, Nelson et al. teaches "storing information identifying a storage location of the recorded information" (see col. 5, lines 7-34).

As per claim 13, Nelson et al. teaches "wherein the one or more items comprise slides" (see col. 25, lines 37-54).

As per claim 14, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected including the following:

--Nelson et al. teaches "storing first information comprising time information for one or more slides presented at a presentation, the time information for each slide in the one or more slides indicative of when the slide was presented during the presentation " (see col. 25, lines 40-53).

As per claim 15, Nelson et al. teaches "receiving one or more images extracted from the information recorded during the presentation; identifying the one or more slides presented at the presentation by comparing the one or more extracted images with images of slides in the set of slides printed on the paper document for the presentation; and determining the time information for each slide in the one or more slides based upon the comparison" (see col. 24, lines 54-67).

As per claim 16, Nelson et al. teaches "receiving a signal indicating selection of a

Application/Control Number: 10/660,867

Art Unit: 2163

type of information for the selected slide; and wherein accessing the information from the information recorded during the presentation comprises accessing information of the selected type based upon the time information determined for the selected slide" (see col. 11, lines 4-34).

As per claim 17, Nelson et al. teaches "receiving a signal indicating selection of an action to be performed for the selected slide; and performing the selected action on the information accessed from the information recorded during the presentation" (see col. 12, lines 56-67).

As per claim 18, Nelson et al. teaches "outputting the accessed information via an output device" (see col. 18, lines 4-18).

As per claim 19, Nelson et al. teaches "wherein performing the selected action comprises saving the accessed information" (see col. 12, lines 30-41).

As per claim 20, Nelson et al. teaches "wherein performing the selected action comprises communicating the accessed information" (see col. 11, lines 35-46)..

As per claim 21, Nelson et al. teaches "storing second information identifying the set of slides printed on the paper document for the presentation, the first information stored prior to occurrence of the presentation" (see col. 12, lines 27-67).

As per claims 22-34, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1-13 and are similarly rejected.

As per claims 35-42, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 14-21 and are similarly rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,867 Page 6

Art Unit: 2163

As per claims 43-55, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1-13 and are similarly rejected.

As per claims 56-62, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 14-21 and are similarly rejected.

As per claims 63-64, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1 and 14 and are similarly rejected.

Art Unit: 2163

Conclusion

Page 7

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 571-272-4037. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner

Tech Ctr. 2100